	Case 1:23-cv-00656-JLT-SKO Doc	ument 11	Filed 11/06/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	DeCHERI HAFER,	Case	No. 1:23-cv-0656	JLT SKO
12	Plaintiff,			N FULL FINDINGS TION, DISMISSING
13	v.	THE	ACTION WITH P	PREJUDICE, AND ERK OF COURT TO
14	HOMESITE INSURANCE,	CLOS	SE THE CASE	
15	Defendant.	(Doc.	10)	
16				
17	On January 9, 2023, Plaintiff, proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> , filed the complaint			
18	in this action in the Sacramento Division of this Court. (Docs. 1, 2.) The case was transferred to			
19	the Fresno Division on April 28, 2023. (See Doc. 5.)			
20	On June 8, 2023, the magistrate judge screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C.			
21	§ 1915(e). (Doc. 7.) The magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed to establish federal jurisdiction in			
22	the complaint, and failed to state any cognizable claims. (<i>Id.</i> at 3-6.) The Court granted Plaintiff 30			
23	days to file an amended complaint or inform the Court that she wished to stand on her initial			
24	complaint. (Id. at 6-7.) The Court served the Screening Order at the only address on record, but it			
25	was returned to the Court as undeliverable on June 15, 2023.			
26	On September 5, 2023, the magistrate judge issued an order to Plaintiff to show cause why			
27	the action should not be dismissed. (Doc. 8.) Again, the Court's order was retuned as			
28	undeliverable on September 13, 2023.			

Case 1:23-cv-00656-JLT-SKO Document 11 Filed 11/06/23 Page 2 of 2

On October 4, 2023, the magistrate judge issued Findings and Recommendations, recommending that the action be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's order and Local Rules, and her failure to prosecute. (Doc. 10.) Again, the Court's mail was returned marked "Undeliverable, Unclaimed, Unable to Forward."

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and *Britt v. Simi Valley United School Dist.*, 708

F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully

F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendation are supported by the record and proper analysis. In addition, dismissal with prejudice is appropriate given Plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim. Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- The Findings and Recommendations filed October 4, 2023 (Doc. 10) are
 ADOPTED in full.
- 2. This action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 6, 2023**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE